

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| 09/778,812 | 02/08/2001 | Hiroshi Ueda | 202776US2 V | 7726 | |
| | 7590 09/30/2002 VAK MCCLELLAND 1 | EXAMINER | | | |
| FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY | | | PARKER, KENNETH | | |
| ARLINGTON | , VA 22202 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2871 | . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | <u>lh</u> | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|--------------------|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | •• | | | |
| | | 09/778,812 | | UEDA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | - | | | |
| | | Kenneth A | | 2871 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | nis action is n | on-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applica | ition. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is: a) <u></u> app | roved b)⊡ disappro | ved by the Examine | er. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 5 | | (PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT0 | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the language "aligned to be overlapped" sounds like the items are not yet assembled, yet "connected though an anisotropic conductive film" implies it is.

In claim 1, is "the end" the "end face", and if not it lacks antecedent as it is unclear what end is referred to.

In claim 2, is "the end" the "end face", and if not it lacks antecedent as it is unclear what end is referred to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al.

Watanabe et al discloses a device with everything excepting the conductive adhesive. The conductive adhesive was, of course, conventional at the time and would have been obvious for that reason.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki, JP2000-114677.

Miyazaki shows everything except the conductive adhesive. As discussed above, the conductive adhesive was conventional at the time and would have been obvious for that reason.

Allowable Subject Matter

Appropriate amendment of the claims to reflect the wires being bent in one state (before or after the bonding), and not in the other, where the two connection portions match would be allowable over the prior art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth A Parker whose telephone number is 703-305-6202. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L. Sikes can be reached on 308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,812

Art Unit: 2871

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

Kenneth A Parker Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871

September 25, 2002